Effect of difference sources and levels of lime application on yield, nutrient availability and uptake of rice in acidic soils

M David Israel Mansingh & S Suresh

Journal of Agriculture and Ecology

ISSN: 2456-9410

Volume: 7

Journal of Agriculture and Ecology (2019) 7: 73-87
<http://doi.org/10.53911/JAE.2019.7108>

Research Article

Open Access

Effect of difference sources and levels of lime application on yield, nutrient availability and uptake of rice in acidic soils

M David Israel Mansingh✉ & S Suresh

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry

Agricultural College and Research Institute, Killikulam, Vallanad-628252

✉ Corresponding author: M David Israel Mansingh, Email: mansinghdavid@gmail.com**Article Info***Article history*

Received: 25 August 2018

Accepted: 10

September 2018

Available online: 15

June 2019

Key Words: Liming,
Rice, Nutrients,
Yield, B: C ratio.

Abstract

Soil acidity is an important agricultural problem while evaluating the production potential of most of the crops. A field experiment was conducted in farmers field at Gananadhasapuram village of Thovalaitaluk (strongly acidic soil) and Andarkulam village of Agastheswaramtaluk (moderately acidic soil) during *Pishanam* (Oct-Feb) and *late Pishanam* (Nov-Mar) season of 2017-2018 respectively, in the high rainfall zone to study the effect of different source and levels of lime on yield of rice and soil properties. Liming materials viz., dolomite and calcite were tried at different levels along with recommended fertilizers + ZnSO_4 . The experiment was conducted in RBD. The results of the experiment revealed that significantly higher grain (7.09 and 7.40 t ha^{-1}) and straw (10.3 and 10.5 t ha^{-1}) yield of rice were recorded with the application of dolomite @ 0.75 LR (6.36 t ha^{-1}) (T_7) and @ 0.50 LR (1.6 t ha^{-1}) (T_5) respectively in the strongly and moderately acidic soils along with recommended dose of fertilizers during pishanam and late pishanam seasons. Similarly, higher nutrient uptake was recorded in treatment T_7 and T_5 respectively both seasons as compared to other treatments. The soil pH, EC, available NPK, exchangeable Ca, Mg and DTPA extractable Zn, Cu were increased and the DTPA extractable Fe and Mn were decreased upon lime application irrespective of the sources. The economics of various treatments revealed that, the highest B:C ratio (1.86 and 2.56) was recorded with the application of dolomite @ 0.75 LR (6.36 t ha^{-1}) (T_7) and @ 0.50 LR (1.6 t ha^{-1}) (T_5) along with recommended dose of fertilizers in the strongly and moderately acidic soils respectively during pishanam and late pishanam seasons. The study clearly indicated that in strongly acidic soils, the application of dolomite @ 0.75 LR (6.36 t ha^{-1}) (T_7) and in moderately acidic soils, dolomite @ 0.50 LR (1.6 t ha^{-1}) (T_5) along with recommended dose of fertilizers and ZnSO_4 is found to be the best for getting higher yield and higher returns per rupee invested under rice cultivation.

Copyright ©2019 David Israel Mansingh & Suresh, This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Preferred citation: David Israel Mansingh M & Suresh S. 2019. Effect of difference sources and levels of lime application on yield, nutrient availability and uptake of rice in acidic soils. *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology*, 7: 73-87; <http://doi.org/10.53911/JAE.2019.7108>.

Introduction

Soil acidity is an important constraint in agriculture production. Soil acidity occurs due to build-up of acid forming elements in the soil. Soil acidity is a natural occurring process due to heavy rainfall and removal of cations like calcium, potassium and magnesium by leaching. Acidic parent materials and decomposition of organic matter also leads to formation of acidic condition in soil. Acceleration of acidification is by acid forming fertilizers usage, disturbance of soil structure, cultivation of high yielding crops (Fageria & Baligar 2008). Higher acidity in soils leads to reduction in crop productivity.

In India, acidic soils occupy about 49 million ha area, of which 26 m ha has pH below 5.5 and 23 m ha has pH between 5.6 and 6.5 (Panda 1979). In Tamil Nadu, acidic soils occupy about 2.6 m ha area. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the important cereal crops in India contributing about 45% to the total food grain production and staple food for more than 60% of world population (Singh *et al.* 2011). Rice crop prefers a soil with a pH from 4.0 to 8.0 with an optimum value of around 6.0.

Nutrient availability in soil and the performance of rice depends on the pH value of soils. Most of the plant nutrients are highly available in neutral soil having pH 6.6 to 7.4 (Hausenbuiller 1972). Acidic condition of the soil is one of the major limiting factor for plant growth and development (Adams 1986). The application of lime to an acidic soil is a common practice in many counties. Lime application in acid soil is beneficial for soil health and improves the crop yields. The

acidic soils are highly prone to leaching and if huge quantity of lime is applied based on lime requirement of soil, there may be accumulation of Ca in the tail end area, which may leads to other deleterious effect. Therefore due care is needed if liming is done based on lime requirement of soil. The soils of high rainfall zone viz. Kanyakumari district are light textured, low in organic matter and strongly acidic to moderately acidic in nature in most cases. The status of available N, P, K, Ca and Mg of these soils are low. The soil has low cation exchange capacity. The maintenance and management of acidic soils are thus very important to obtain highest resource use efficiency and productivity of the crop on sustainable basis (Caires *et al.* 2008).

Liming increases the availability of P, Ca, Mg and Mo and renders iron, and manganese insoluble and harmless and increases fertilizer effectiveness (Halim *et al.*, 2014). Thus, the crop plants may have a better nutrition and produce a higher yield. Lime application, is a sustainable crop production technology for better soil fertility management of acidic soil. Lime serves not only as an ameliorant but also an amendment in acidic soils. In these regard, a study is highly imperative in acidic soil to optimize the source and level of liming material for sustaining the rice crop productivity. The application of lime is not only based on the soil acidity level, but also on reducing the cost effects. The application of lime in soils of high rainfall zone causes initial set back of crop and cost ineffectiveness when applied as ameliorant. The over liming resulted in lime induced P and micronutrient deficiencies thereby

reduced the crop yields. There is lack of knowledge on using the various liming materials and levels when it used as an amendment. Information on nature of liming material, effectiveness for reducing the soil acidity and crop yield enhancement is important to select suitable liming material for the region. Formulation of recommendation rates is the basic need for spurring farmer uptake of the liming technology.

Materials and Methods

Site description

A field experiment was conducted at farmers field of Gananadhasapuram village of Thovalaitaluk (strongly acidic soil) and Andarkulam village of Agastheswaramtaluk (moderately acidic soil) during *Pishanam*

(Oct-Feb) and *late Pishanam* (Nov–Mar) season of 2017-18 respectively, in the high rainfall zone of Tamil Nadu, to study the effect of different sources and levels of lime on soil fertility, yield and economics of rice cultivation.

Field experimental

The field experiment was conducted in the strongly acidic soils of Gananadasapuram (location I) and moderately acidic soils of Andarkulam villages (location II) during *pishanam* (Oct-Feb) and *late pishanam* (Nov-Mar) seasons respectively in the high rainfall zone. The rice crop varieties TPS 3 and TPS 5 were used as test crops during the different seasons respectively. The physico-chemical characteristics of the soil of the experimental fields are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of initial soil

Parameters	Pishanam season	Late pishanam season
I. Physical properties		
Textural class	Sandy clay loam	Sandy clay
Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	1.39	1.31
Particle density (Mg m^{-3})	2.17	2.19
Water holding capacity (%)	35.2	36.7
II. Physico - chemical properties		
pH	5.1	5.9
EC (dS m^{-1})	0.02	0.04
CEC ($\text{c mol (p}^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$)	12.5	14.2
III. Chemical properties		
Organic carbon (%)	4.5	4.7
Available N (kg ha^{-1})	210.0	238.0
Available P (kg ha^{-1})	8.4	9.7
Available K (kg ha^{-1})	107.0	197.0
Exchangeable Ca ($\text{c mol (p}^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$)	2.3	2.9
Exchangeable Mg ($\text{c mol (p}^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$)	3.4	2.4
Available Zn (mg kg^{-1})	1.02	1.07
Available Cu (mg kg^{-1})	1.78	1.95
Available Fe (mg kg^{-1})	296	258
Available Mn (mg kg^{-1})	15.2	12.7

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with ten treatments replicated thrice. The treatment combinations include, treatment T_1 is absolute control, the treatment T_2 was the application of recommended dose of fertilizers with $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 kg ha^{-1} , For the treatments from T_3 , T_5 , T_7 and T_9 , lime as dolomite at different levels based on lime requirements 0.25 LR (2.12 and 0.8 t ha^{-1}) (T_3), 0.50 LR (4.24 and 1.6 t ha^{-1}) (T_5), 0.75 LR (6.36 and 2.4 t ha^{-1}) (T_7) and 1.0 LR (8.48 and 3.2 t ha^{-1}) (T_9) respectively for pishanam and late pishanam seasons along with recommended dose of fertilizers and $ZnSO_4$ was tested. For the treatments from T_4 , T_6 , T_8 and T_{10} , lime as calcite at different levels based on lime requirement 0.25 LR (2.32 and 0.88 t ha^{-1}) (T_4), 0.50 LR (4.63 and 1.76 t ha^{-1}) (T_6), 0.75 LR (6.95 and 2.64 t ha^{-1}) (T_8) and 1.0 LR (9.25 and 3.22 t ha^{-1}) (T_{10}) during pishanam and late pishanam seasons along with recommended dose of fertilizers and $ZnSO_4$ was tested. The 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 LR corresponds to 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% Lime requirement of soil. The fertilizer was applied to all the plots except absolute control plot based on RDF (pishanam 150:50:50 and late pishanam 120:40:40 kg ha^{-1} of N: P_2O_5 : K_2O respectively). Lime was applied to the soil one week prior to transplanting rice seedlings and mixed thoroughly, The Ca content of calcite is 40 per cent and the Ca and Mg content of dolomite is 22 per cent, and 13 per cent respectively. The dolomite requirement was calculated based on calcium carbonate equivalent and neutralizing value. Phosphorus was applied basally as mussoorie rock phosphate. Potash was applied by broadcasting in four equal splits as muriate

of potash, during basal, tillering, active tillering and panicle initiation stages. The $ZnSO_4$ was applied at the time of planting. The crop was kept free of weeding by hand weeding. It was also protected from pest and disease by adopting the need based plant protection measures.

Soil and Plant analysis

Soil and plant samples collected at different growth stages of rice were analyzed for pH, EC (Jackson 1973), organic carbon (Walkley & Black 1934), available N (Subbiah & Asija 1956), phosphorus (Jackson 1973), potassium (Stanford & English 1949), exchangeable Ca and Mg (Jackson 1973) and DTPA extractable Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn (Lindsay & Norwell 1978), Lime requirement (Shoemaker et al. 1961) and total N (Humphries 1956), phosphorus (Piper 1966), potassium (Jackson 1973), exchangeable Ca and Mg (Jackson 1973) and total micronutrients Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn (Lindsay & Norwell 1978) by using standard procedures. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as prescribed by Gomez & Gomez (2010).

Results and Discussion

Effect of liming on yield attributes and yield

The yield contributing characters such as number of productive tillers m^{-2} , grain and straw yield were influenced significantly by the application of lime, NPK fertilizers and $ZnSO_4$ (Table 2). In the present study, the application of lime had significantly exhibited its superiority to increase the number of productive tillers m^{-2} , grain and straw yield of rice. The highest productive tillers m^{-2} (375),

grain (7.09 t ha^{-1}) and straw yield (10.3 t ha^{-1}) of rice was recorded with RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + Dolomite @ 0.75 LR (T_7) followed by T_8 (356, 6.85 and 8.53 t ha^{-1} of productive tillers m^{-2} , grain and straw yield respectively), which received RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + Calcite @ 0.75 LR in the pishanam season. In the late pishanam season highest productive tillers m^{-2} (395), grain (7.40 t ha^{-1}) and straw (10.5 t ha^{-1}) yield was recorded by T_5 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.50 LR) followed by T_6 (362, 6.98 and 9.86 t ha^{-1} of productive tillers m^{-2} , grain and straw yield respectively) which received RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + Calcite @ 0.50 LR.

The yield benefits can be ascribed to the increase in soil pH upon liming along with the associated improvement in nutrients availability, reduced Fe availability and many other attributes of soil fertility (Manoj-Kumar et al. 2012). Oya et al. (1990) observed that application of lime in acid soil significantly increased the Rhodes grass yield. Attanandana & Vacharotayan (1986) reported that liming with recommended fertilizer application resulted in the 37% additional increase of paddy yield compared to liming alone. The above results are in agreement with the findings of Osundwa et al. (2013).

Effect of liming on uptake of nutrients

The nutrient uptake was increased with the crop growth. Significant impact on total N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn uptake was observed due to application of different sources and levels of lime (Table 3 and 4). The nutrient uptake of the crop depicted a steep increase with the advancement of crop

growth stage. Higher total uptake of nitrogen (39.8 and 43.8 kg ha^{-1}), phosphorus (11.8 and 19.8 kg ha^{-1}), potassium (106 and 102 kg ha^{-1}), calcium (14.6 and 18.9 kg ha^{-1}), magnesium (16.9 and 18.9 kg ha^{-1}), zinc (1162 and 1478 g ha^{-1}), copper (1005 and 1236 g ha^{-1}), iron (57.5 and 77.8 kg ha^{-1}) and manganese (19.6 and 26.2 kg ha^{-1}) after harvest was recorded in T_7 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.75 LR) and T_5 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.50 LR) respectively in strongly and moderately acidic soils.

Nutrient uptake is usually governed by the nutrient concentrations and dry matter yield. The absorption of nutrients depends on their ionic forms in the rhizosphere, which is influenced by soil acidity and the overall concentrations of nutrients in the soil. The reduction in soil acidity might have increased the pH and availability of N and ultimately its uptake by the crop (Kihanda et al. 1988). Liming increased root proliferation by reducing toxic effects of Al and thus enhanced the uptake of P and K (Chang and Sung, 2004), which attributed in increase in the yield of rice. The uptake of Ca and Mg were dependent on their availability in soil. The applied lime as calcite (or) dolomite on dissolution releases Ca and Mg, thus enhancing the available Ca and Mg content in soil. The Ca and Mg content of the plant increases subsequently resulting in higher uptake by paddy crop up to 0.75 LR. The Ca, and Mg concentrations decreased higher lime rates of 1.0 LR as calcite (or) dolomite. This may be associated with lower dry matter production with increasing lime rates (Moreira et al. 2008).

Table 2. Effect of liming on yield attributes, yield and B: C ratio

Treatments	Pishanam season (strongly acidic soil)				Late pishanam season (moderately acidic soil)			
	No. of Productive tillers m ⁻²	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio	No. of Productive tillers m ⁻²	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
T ₁ - Control	280	2.46	4.24	1.10	284	2.64	14.58	1.18
T ₂ - RDF + ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	318	4.59	7.79	1.76	335	4.18	8.46	1.67
T ₃ - T ₂ + Dolomite (0.25 LR)	332	5.25	8.03	1.74	348	5.05	8.60	1.88
T ₄ - T ₂ + Calcite (0.25 LR)	323	4.97	8.02	1.24	344	4.48	8.53	1.47
T ₅ - T ₂ + Dolomite (0.50 LR)	352	6.33	8.11	1.83	395	7.40	10.5	2.56
T ₆ - T ₂ + Calcite (0.50 LR)	337	5.66	8.10	1.03	362	6.98	9.86	1.90
T ₇ - T ₂ + Dolomite (0.75 LR)	375	7.09	10.3	1.86	358	6.59	9.73	2.18
T ₈ - T ₂ + Calcite (0.75 LR)	356	6.85	8.53	0.98	353	5.38	9.00	1.30
T ₉ - T ₂ + Dolomite (1.0 LR)	304	4.39	7.06	1.06	331	4.07	7.86	1.32
T ₁₀ - T ₂ + Calcite (1.0 LR)	295	3.85	6.45	0.47	326	3.61	6.80	0.78
SEd	11.2	0.19	0.07	-	6.92	0.11	0.73	-
CD (0.05 %)	23.5	0.4	0.2	-	14.5	0.2	1.5	-

LR-Lime Requirement, RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer, ZnSO₄- Zinc Sulphate

Table 3. Effect of liming on nutrient uptake of rice in strongly acidic soil (pishanam season)

Treatments	Total N	Total P	Total K	Total Ca	Total Mg	Total Zn	Total Cu	Total Fe	Total Mn
	$\longleftrightarrow$ (kg ha ⁻¹) $\longrightarrow$			(c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)		$\longleftrightarrow$ (g ha ⁻¹) $\longrightarrow$		$\longleftrightarrow$ (kg ha ⁻¹) $\longrightarrow$	
T ₁ - Control	14.6	3.50	30.3	4.30	3.20	441	378	17.0	7.40
T ₂ - RDF + ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	25.6	7.40	70.6	8.50	6.30	813	655	39.0	14.9
T ₃ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.25 LR)	28.3	7.90	76.5	9.00	6.00	876	728	48.9	17.3
T ₄ - T ₂ + calcite (0.25 LR)	26.6	7.10	74.0	8.30	5.90	853	699	48.5	16.3
T ₅ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.50 LR)	34.2	9.90	94.2	11.7	13.1	1024	870	52.9	18.9
T ₆ - T ₂ + calcite (0.50 LR)	30.9	8.50	88.3	10.4	11.1	958	796	50.4	17.6
T ₇ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.75 LR)	39.8	11.8	106	14.6	16.9	1162	1005	57.5	19.6
T ₈ - T ₂ + calcite (0.75 LR)	36.6	10.4	101	12.6	14.8	1107	940	53.3	19.3
T ₉ - T ₂ + dolomite (1.0 LR)	24.2	7.40	69.2	7.90	6.20	796	596	36.9	10.7
T ₁₀ - T ₂ + calcite (1.0 LR)	21.6	6.20	57.0	6.70	5.10	672	534	23.6	10.0
SEd	0.56	0.16	1.06	0.19	0.16	20.4	17.92	1.00	0.30
CD (0.05 %)	1.2	0.3	2.2	0.40	0.34	43	38	2.1	0.6

LR-Lime Requirement, RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer, ZnSO₄- Zinc Sulphate

Table 4. Effect of liming on nutrient uptake of rice in moderately acidic soil (late pishanam season)

Treatments	Total N	Total P	Total K	Total Ca	Total Mg	Total Zn	Total Cu	Total Fe	Total Mn
	← (kg ha ⁻¹) →	← (kg ha ⁻¹) →	← (kg ha ⁻¹) →	(c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)	← (g ha ⁻¹) →	← (g ha ⁻¹) →	← (g ha ⁻¹) →	← (kg ha ⁻¹) →	← (kg ha ⁻¹) →
T ₁ - Control	16.2	3.20	35.1	5.50	4.20	531	464	18.5	8.10
T ₂ - RDF + ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	25.5	10.0	59.8	8.10	7.50	902	744	49.5	16.0
T ₃ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.25 LR)	31.8	13.3	74.2	11.4	8.30	1125	951	64.0	21.7
T ₄ - T ₂ + calcite (0.25 LR)	30.1	12.5	71.2	16.2	8.10	1081	900	54.7	18.1
T ₅ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.50 LR)	43.8	19.8	102	18.9	18.9	1478	1236	77.8	26.2
T ₆ - T ₂ + calcite (0.50 LR)	39.4	16.3	93.1	16.6	15.7	1332	1104	77.4	24.6
T ₇ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.75 LR)	35.7	13.9	83.6	13.5	18.2	1197	1077	72.0	22.1
T ₈ - T ₂ + calcite (0.75 LR)	34.4	14.9	81.7	12.5	16.4	1171	1043	69.7	22.0
T ₉ - T ₂ + dolomite (1.0 LR)	23.6	9.40	56.1	7.70	7.10	838	658	40.4	12.7
T ₁₀ - T ₂ + calcite (1.0 LR)	20.3	4.90	51.0	6.60	6.00	734	595	24.1	10.7
SEd	0.79	0.31	1.92	0.22	0.22	19.3	17.5	0.9	0.5
CD (0.05 %)	1.7	0.7	4.0	0.50	0.50	41	37	1.8	0.9

LR-Lime Requirement, RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer, ZnSO₄- Zinc Sulphate

Plant micronutrient is greatly influenced by soil pH. The majority of micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu) are more available within a pH range of 5-7. Outside these optimal pH ranges, these micronutrients are available to plants in lesser amounts. In the present study, the pH of the soil that received ameliorants maintained the same pH range of 5-7. Hence, higher content and uptake of these micronutrients were recorded in these treatments upon liming, except in higher dose of 1.0 LR in both strongly and moderately acidic soils. Similar observations were made in different crops by many scientists like Muse & Mitchell (1995) and Hunter & Yapa (1996). The uptake of nutrients increased with increase in dosage of dolomite ameliorant up to 0.75 LR (strongly acidic soil) and 0.50 LR (moderately acidic soil) and at higher level both dolomite and calcite ameliorants @ 1.0 LR it was significantly reduced. This may be due to decrease in micronutrient availability with addition of more lime (Buni 2015).

Effect of liming on soil physico-chemical properties and available nutrient status

The pH and EC of the soil was slightly increased with the increase of lime application on acidic soil (Table 5 and 6). The application of lime @ 1.0 LR had significantly increased the soil pH. However, the effect of calcite on pH was slightly higher than dolomite. Increase in pH indicated the usefulness of liming material for amelioration of acid soil.

The calcium ions present in the liming materials can be readily adsorbed to soil particle and organic matter. However, the carbonates in turn react with hydrogen ions in

solution (which act to keep the pH low), thus causing an increase in soil pH (Buni 2015), increased soluble salts in the soil due to application of liming. Similarly, the soil EC was more in treatment which received RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + calcite @ 1.0 LR. This is in line with finding of Anila (2014). Further, the liming treatments significantly increased the available N, P, K, Ex.Ca, Ex.Mg, DTPA-Zn and Cu contents of soil and decreased available DTPA-Fe and DTPA-Mn contents of the soil (Table 5 and 6).

Macro nutrients

The available macro nutrient was significantly influenced by liming and fertilizers application. The higher soil available like nitrogen (238 and 218 kg ha^{-1}), phosphorus (11.8 and 11.9 kg ha^{-1}), potassium (163 and 220 kg ha^{-1}) after harvest was recorded in T_7 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.75 LR) respectively in strongly and moderately acidic soil. This was followed by T_5 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.50 LR). The higher soil exchangeable calcium (5.80 and 6.40 $\text{c mol (p}^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in treatment T_{10} (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + calcite @ 1.0 LR) compared to all other treatments, in both soil acidity situations respectively. The higher exchangeable magnesium (4.40 and 5.20 $\text{c mol (p}^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$) contents was observed in treatment T_9 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 1.0 LR) than all other treatments, in strongly and moderately acidic soils respectively.

Table 5. Effect of liming on soil properties in strongly acidic soil (pishanam season)

Treatments	pH	EC	Avail. N	Avail. P	Avail. K	Ex. Ca	Ex. Mg	DTPA-Zn	DTPA-Cu	DTPA-Fe	DTPA-Mn	%BS
		(dS m ⁻¹)	(kg ha ⁻¹)			(c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)		(mg kg ⁻¹)				
			←		→			←			→	
T ₁ - Control	4.98	0.03	102	6.20	71	2.10	1.70	0.90	1.76	345	15.2	30.8
T ₂ - RDF + ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	4.73	0.03	198	9.60	109	2.20	1.80	1.11	1.90	259	14.0	32.9
T ₃ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.25 LR)	5.25	0.05	206	10.8	147	3.20	3.00	1.28	1.99	159	10.7	51.6
T ₄ - T ₂ + calcite (0.25 LR)	5.46	0.05	204	10.4	142	3.60	2.60	1.26	1.97	162	13.5	51.2
T ₅ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.50 LR)	5.22	0.05	217	11.5	151	3.50	3.30	1.36	2.11	155	11.9	58.5
T ₆ - T ₂ + calcite (0.50 LR)	5.36	0.04	218	10.6	148	3.90	2.90	1.32	2.08	157	12.7	57.7
T ₇ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.75 LR)	5.92	0.07	238	11.8	163	3.70	3.80	1.58	2.18	147	10.4	66.2
T ₈ - T ₂ + calcite (0.75 LR)	6.00	0.08	224	11.6	158	4.90	3.20	1.44	2.15	151	11.2	65.8
T ₉ - T ₂ + dolomite (1.0 LR)	6.66	0.11	187	9.50	103	4.40	4.40	1.15	1.85	135	8.90	82.2
T ₁₀ - T ₂ + calcite (1.0 LR)	6.64	0.12	148	8.90	95	5.80	3.60	1.12	1.82	142	9.70	81.8
SEd	0.07	0.0002	3.1	0.2	3	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.04	3.6	0.29	-
CD (0.05 %)	0.2	0.004	6	0.4	6	0.2	0.13	0.07	0.09	8	0.6	-

LR-Lime Requirement, RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer, ZnSO₄- Zinc Sulphate

Table 6. Effect of liming on soil properties in moderately acidic soil(late pishanam season)

Table 6. Effect of liming on soil properties in moderately acidic soil (late monsoon season)												
Treatments	pH	EC	Avail.N	Avail.P	Avail.K	Ex.Ca	Ex.Mg	DTPA-Zn	DTPA-Cu	DTPA-Fe	DTPA-Mn	%BS
		(dS m ⁻¹)	←	(kg ha ⁻¹)	→	(c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)		← (mg kg ⁻¹)		→		
T ₁ - Control	5.48	0.05	128	6.40	151	2.60	2.40	1.04	1.93	264	13.5	36.4
T ₂ - RDF + ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹	5.30	0.06	194	10.3	199	3.20	2.90	1.20	2.06	195	12.3	44.4
T ₃ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.25 LR)	5.49	0.11	196	10.9	202	4.00	4.20	1.37	2.15	123	9.00	59.8
T ₄ - T ₂ + calcite (0.25 LR)	5.67	0.12	195	10.6	200	4.80	3.60	1.35	2.13	125	11.8	61.1
T ₅ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.50 LR)	5.97	0.13	218	11.9	220	4.30	4.60	1.67	2.34	117	10.3	67.1
T ₆ - T ₂ + calcite (0.50 LR)	5.86	0.13	217	11.6	218	5.20	3.70	1.53	2.31	120	11.0	65.9
T ₇ - T ₂ + dolomite (0.75 LR)	6.03	0.14	216	11.3	216	4.90	4.90	1.45	2.27	108	8.80	75.9
T ₈ - T ₂ + calcite (0.75 LR)	6.09	0.15	214	11.0	211	5.80	3.70	1.41	2.24	112	9.50	72.4
T ₉ - T ₂ + dolomite (1.0 LR)	6.36	0.15	193	10.0	197	5.30	5.20	1.24	2.01	93	7.30	80.9
T ₁₀ - T ₂ + calcite (1.0 LR)	6.82	0.16	160	9.10	194	6.40	4.00	1.21	1.98	104	8.00	80.1
SEd	0.12	0.004	4.8	0.2	4.4	0.07	0.11	0.02	0.04	2.1	0.22	-
CD (0.05 %)	0.3	0.01	10	0.5	9	0.2	0.2	0.04	0.09	5	0.5	-

LR-Lime Requirement, RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer, ZnSO₄- Zinc Sulphate

Increase in soil available nitrogen due to lime application could be due to enhanced microbial activities in the soils owing to increased soil pH, the rate of decomposition of organic matter and accelerated the process of mineralization of nitrogen (Ranjit et al. 2007). Liming increased P availability by changing the rate of organic P mineralization due to altered rates of microbial activity as well as increase in the utilization of soil phosphate by plants through amelioration of Fe toxicity (Haynes 1982). Bishnoi et al. (1988) reported that the increase of available K status of acid soils by liming can be explained on the basis, that K released from non exchangeable fraction to available pool get accelerated when acid soils are limed. Patil & Ananthanarayana (1989) reported that increase in exchangeable calcium was in direct proportion with increase in lime level. This is due to increase in charge density and greater affinity for higher valent ions. The calcium being divalent cation and its higher solution concentration due to liming increased its concentration in the exchange complex. Liming increased the base saturation index and reduced Al saturation and increases the magnesium concentration in soil solution. Application of lime increased magnesium adequately. Similar observation was reported by Viswanatha shetty et al. (2012).

Micro nutrients

The available micronutrient was significantly influenced by liming and fertilizers application. The higher available DTPA-Zn (1.58 and 1.67 mg kg^{-1}) and DTPA-Cu (2.18 and 2.34 mg kg^{-1}) was recorded in treatment T_7 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.75 LR in strongly acidic soil) and T_5

(RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 0.50 LR in moderately acidic soil) respectively, compared to all other treatments. The lower available DTPA-Fe (135 and 93 mg kg^{-1}) and DTPA-Mn (8.9 and 7.3 mg kg^{-1}) was recorded in treatment T_9 (RDF + 25 kg ZnSO_4 + dolomite @ 1.0 LR) in the strongly and moderately acidic soils respectively, compared to all other treatments. Jackson (1973) reported that with decrease in iron and manganese, there is increase in zinc content due to antagonistic relationship between iron and zinc. The conversion of Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} and Mn^{2+} to Mn^{3+} has led to lower availability upon liming.

Base saturation

The application of different sources and levels of lime application based on lime requirement of soil increased base saturation percentage (Table 5 and 6). The BSP increased from 30.8 to 82.2 in strongly acidic and from 36.4 to 80.9 in moderately acidic soil at the time of harvest. The highest BSP of 82.2 strongly acidic and 80.9 moderately acidic soil was recorded with the application of dolomite (1.0 LR) + RDF and ZnSO_4 @ 25 kg ha^{-1} (T_9) This was followed by the application of calcite (1.0 LR) + RDF and ZnSO_4 @ 25 kg ha^{-1} (T_{10}) with the BSP of 81.8 and 80.1 respectively in the strongly and moderately acidic soils. The increased concentration of Ca and Mg in the exchange sites and reduced concentration of H and Al lead to high base concentration upon liming. Similar trend was reported by Viswanathshetty et al. (2012).

Economic analysis

Higher crop productivity with lesser cost of cultivation could result in better economic parameters like net returns and B:C ratio. The identified treatment should be economically viable so that farmers can sustain their higher income. The B:C ratio was worked out for the different treatments in terms of soil management and fertilizers application in acidic soil (Table 2). The maximum and economic yield with B:C ratio of 1.86 was recorded with application of dolomite @ 0.75 LR along with RDF and ZnSO_4 (T₇) in the strongly acidic soil (pH 5.1), (pishanam season). In case of late pishanam season rice cultivated in moderately acidic soil (pH 5.9), (late pishanam season) the maximum and economic yield with B:C ratio of 2.56 was recorded with the application of dolomite @ 0.50 LR along with RDF and ZnSO_4 . The high economic return could be realized if lime is applied in acidic soil was also reported by Kumar (2015).

Conclusion

From this study, it can be concluded that application of dolomite @ 0.75 LR (6.36 t ha⁻¹) (T₇) and @ 0.50 LR (1.6 t ha⁻¹) (T₅) along with recommended dose of fertilizers and ZnSO_4 , could be considered as a better option for achieving higher productivity and profitability besides improving the physico-chemical characteristics of strongly and moderately acidic soils, respectively in the high rainfall zone.

Reference

Adams S 1986. The interaction between liming and forms of nitrogen fertilizer on established grassland. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 106(3): 509-513.

- Anila M. 2014. Relative efficiency of Ameliorants on rice productivity in lateritic soils of Kerala. College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara,
- Attanandana T & Vacharotayan S. 1986. Acid Sulfate Soils: Their Characteristics, Genesis, Amelioration and Utilization (Problem Soils in Southeast Asia).
- Bishnoi S, Tripathi B & Sharma P. 1988. Studies on liming of acid soils of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 36(2): 257-263.
- Buni A. 2015. Effects of liming acidic soils on improving soil properties and yield of haricot bean. *Journal of Environmental and Analytical Toxicology*, 5(1): 1.
- Caires E, Garbuio F, Churka S, Barth G & Corrêa J. 2008. Effects of soil acidity amelioration by surface liming on no-till corn, soybean, and wheat root growth and yield. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 28(1): 57-64.
- Chang SC & Sung JM. 2004. Nutrient uptake and yield responses of peanuts and rice to lime and fused magnesium phosphate in an acid soil. *Field Crop Research*, 89(3): 319-325.
- Fageria N & Baligar V. 2008. Ameliorating soil acidity of tropical Oxisols by liming for sustainable crop production. *Advances in agronomy*, 99: 345-399.
- Gomez KA & Gomez AA. 2010. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edn. John Wiley and Sons, NewYork. p. 680.
- Halim A, Siddique M, Sarker BC, Islam MJ, Hossain MF & Kamaruzzaman M. 2014. Assessment of Nutrient Dynamics Affected By Different Levels of Lime in a Mungbean Field of the Old Himalayan Piedmont Soil in Bangladesh. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 7: 101-112.

- Hausenbuiller R. 1972. Soil science. William C. In: Brown Company Publishers, Dubuque, IA.
- Haynes R. 1982. Effects of liming on phosphate availability in acid soils. *Plant and soil*, 68(3): 289-308.
- Humphries E. 1956. Mineral components and ash analysis. In *Moderne Methoden der Pflanzenanalyse/Modern Methods of Plant Analysis* (pp. 468-502): Springer.
- Hunter D & Yapa L. 1996. Comparative Effects of Lime, Green Manure and NPK Fertilizer on Soil Acidity and Maize Growth On An Acid Oxisol from Western Samoa. *Journal of South Pacific Agriculture*, 3: 15-20.
- Jackson M. 1973. Methods of chemical analysis. In: Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi.
- Kihanda F, Wood M & O'Neill M. 1999. Effect of lime, farmyard manure and np fertilizers on maize yield and soil chemical characteristics in an ando-humic nitosol of Central Kenya. Maize Production Technology for the Future: Challenges and Opportunities. *Proceedings of the Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference*, 6: 21-25.
- Kumar R. 2015. Influence of mulching, liming and farm yard manures on production potential, economics and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under rainfed condition of Eastern Himalaya. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 44(3): 391-398.
- Lindsay WL & Norvell WA. 1978. Development of a DTPA Soil Test for Zinc, Iron, Manganese, and Copper 1. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 42(3): 421-428.
- Manoj-Kumar S, Hazarika B, Choudhury T, Verma B & Bordoloi L. 2012. Liming and integrated nutrient management for enhancing maize productivity on acidic soils of northeast India. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*, 25(1): 35-37.
- Moreira A, Heinrichs R & Freitas ARD. 2008. Phosphorus and magnesium ratio on soil fertility, nutritional status, and yield of alfalfa. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 37(6): 984-989.
- Muse JK & Mitchell CC. 1995. Paper mill boiler ash and lime by-products as soil liming materials. *Agronomy Journal*, 87(3): 432-438.
- Osundwa M, Okalebo J, Ngetich W, Ochuodho J, Othieno C, Langat B & Omenyo V. 2013. Influence of agricultural lime on soil properties and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield on acidic soils of Uasin Gishu County, Kenya. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 3(4): 806.
- Oya K, Kinjo N & Shimo M. 1990. The improving effect of several liming materials on the growth and nutrient uptake of Rhodes grass. *Science Bulletin of the College of Agriculture, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa*, (37): 7-17.
- Panda N. 1979. Fertilizer management in acid soils for increased efficiency. *Fertilizer News*, 24: 75-83.
- Patil P & Ananthanarayana R. 1989. Effect of lime level as indicated by different methods on soil properties. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2: 273-380.
- Piper CS. 1966. *Soil and plant analysis*: Hans Publishers; Bombay.
- Ranjit R, Dasog G & Patil P. 2007. Effect of lime and phosphorus levels on nutrient uptake by groundnut genotypes in acid soils of coastal agro eco system of Karnataka. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 20(3): 631-633
- Shoemaker H, McLean E & Pratt P. 1961. Buffer methods for determining lime

- requirement of soils with appreciable amounts of extractable aluminum. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 25(4): 274-277.
- Singh Y, Dhar D & Agarwal B. 2011. Influence of organic nutrient management on Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa*)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)–greengram (*Vigna radiata*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 56(3): 169-175.
- Stanford G & English L. 1949. Use of the flame photometer in rapid soil tests for K and Ca. *Agronomy Journal*, 41(9): 446-447.
- Subbiah B & Asija G. 1956. A rapid method for the estimation of nitrogen in soil. *Current Science*, 26: 259-260.
- Viswanatha shetty Y, Ravi NC, Ganapathi Vageesh TS, Dinesh Kumar M & Prakash NB. 2012. Effect of different liming materials on productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and related changes in properties of acid soil. Paper presented at the 8th PSILPH, UAS, Bangalore.
- Walkley A & Black IA. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 37(1): 29-38.